

SEARCHED			
Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.
427	554	9/14-15/94	1/1/95
	555		
	556		
	561		
	582		
	583		
	584		
	586		
	596		
	597		
156	643		

update

* * *

During an interview with applicant on the continuing case 09/2087-52 (con't 624/93), it was learned that the Yamazaki et al reference P.N. 4,786,358 was made to the inventors from the SAME assignee, hence regardless of interpretation issues this application should have either a double patenting or a judicial D.P. rejection.

Mr. Pasquott

33. Reply brief 1/1/95 3/25/98
 34. Information D3 (1) 3rd Statement
 REMAND TO EXAMINER MAR 18 1993
 35.
 36. Letter 4/13/99
 37. TDS 12/16/98
 38. Remand to Examiner 1/11/2000
 39. Letter (1 month) 2-93-00
 40. Hearing (4.13.00) 5.15.00
 41. Confirmation 4.18.00
 42. Supplement to part T.D. S 124
 43. Hearing 1.16.01

SEARCH NOTES

The claims of this case are unrelated to those of parent 07/891,907 as of 9/4/93

ordered patent file for Yamazaki et al (a mailing) 4,786,358 to check logic error in claims (#15) was not a printer error - as it's in the claims as originally filed

→ discuss 1/17/99, translator was (105) with J. M. Macias as he said it should not be considered as a new TDS issue SP-3/00

43. Remand to Examiner

May 5, 2000

44. Letter H.C. 6/17/00
 45. TDS . 12.10.98
 46. Letter 7-19-00
 47. Change of Address 7/27/01
 48. Hearing 1.16.01
 49. Confirmation 11.6.00
 50. Remand To Examiner 2/23/01
 51. Comm Rej 3 8-10-01
 52. RFD Justice 2/26/01
 53. Amat F (NG) 2/26/01
 54. Non-Compliant Audit 4/4/02
 55. Amat G 4/23/02
 56. Interview Summary 7/16/02
 57. Interview Summary 7/16/02
 (RIGHTS RESERVED) 7/16/02